

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/730,232 12/05/2000		Alexander I. Poltorak	MI/AP00	7918	
7:	590 06/23/2004		EXAMINER		
IP Wealth. con	m, Inc		MOONEYHAM	M, JANICE A	
Montebello Par 75 Montebello	==		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suffern, NY 10901			3629		
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004	ļ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T 4 11 11 11			
<i>9</i> .		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
·		09/730,232		POLTORAK, ALEXANDER I.	
Office Action	on Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jan Mooneyha		3629	14/
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	ATE of this communication app	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress
A SHORTENED STAT THE MAILING DATE C  - Extensions of time may be availer SIX (6) MONTHS from the seriod for reply specified if NO period for reply is specific.  - Failure to reply within the set of the seriod for reply is specific.	UTORY PERIOD FOR REPL OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ne mailing date of this communication. If above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied above, the maximum stautory period or extended period for reply will, by statute ce later than three months after the mailing at. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ho oly within the statutory r will apply and will expi te, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1) Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed on 05 D	December 2000.			
2a)☐ This action is FIN		s action is non-f			
3) Since this application	ation is in condition for allowa	ance except for f	ormal matters, pro	secution as to th	e merits is
closed in accorda	ance with the practice under <i>l</i>	Ex parte Quayle	, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) is 6) ☐ Claim(s) is 7) ☐ Claim(s) is		awn from consid			
Application Papers					
•	is objected to by the Examine				
	ed on is/are: a) acc	•	•		
, ,	request that any objection to the		-	• •	YED 4 404/4\
· ·	ring sheet(s) including the correct aration is objected to by the E	·	• • • •		, ,
•		Xariinor. Noto t	io dilaonod Omoo	7.00.017 07 101111 1	10 102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119				
a) All b) Som  1. Certified co  2. Certified co  3. Copies of to  application	is made of a claim for foreign to a color of:  opies of the priority document opies of the priority document the certified copies of the priority from the International Burea detailed Office action for a list	nts have been re nts have been re prity documents au (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been received (.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage
		_	•		
Attachment(s)		-	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Page 1</li> </ol>	l (PTO-892) atent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ite.	
	tement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	', -	Notice of Informal P		O-152)
r aper No(s)/Mail Date	<del>_</del> ·	3) [			

Art Unit: 3629

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-53, 66-72 and 86-87, drawn to a method and system for searching intellectual property listings, classified in class 705, subclass 1.
- II. Claims 54-56, drawn to a method of evaluating and comparing a plurality of online auctions and exchanges, classified in class 705, subclass 35.
- III. Claims 57-65, drawn to a method and system for submitting a listing of goods and services, classified in class 705, subclass 22.
- IV. Claims 73 and 74, drawn to a method and system for building an intellectual property database, classified in class 707, subclass 1.
- Claims 75-80, drawn to a method for purchasing an item, classified in class 705,
   subclass 26.
- VI. Claims 81-85, drawn to a method for placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities, classified in class 705, subclass 27.

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions I and II are not related because invention I is drawn to a method of searching intellectual property listings and invention II is drawn to a method of evaluating and comparing a plurality of online auctions and exchanges.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

Art Unit: 3629

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions I and III are not related because invention I is drawn to a method of searching intellectual property listings from the buyer or consumer perspective and invention III is drawn to a method and system for submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller's perspective.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions I and IV are not related because invention I is drawn to a method and system for searching intellectual property listings and invention IV is drawn to a method and system for building an intellectual property database.

Inventions I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions I and V are not related because I is drawn to a method and system for searching intellectual property listings and V is drawn to a method for purchasing an item.

Inventions I and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions I and VI are different because I is drawn to a method and system of searching intellectual property listings and VI is drawn to a method of placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities from the seller's side.

Art Unit: 3629

Inventions II and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions comparing online auctions and exchanges and searching intellectual property listings from the buyer side.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are evaluating and comparing a plurality of online auctions and exchanges and submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller side.

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are comparing online auctions and exchanges and building an IP database.

Inventions II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are comparing online auctions and exchanges and purchasing an item form the buyer side.

Inventions II and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

Art Unit: 3629

inventions are comparing online auctions and exchanges and placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities from the seller side.

Inventions III and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller side and searching intellectual property listings.

Inventions III and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller side and evaluating and comparing a plurality of online auctions and exchanges.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller side and building an intellectual property database.

Inventions III and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller side and purchasing an item from the buyer side.

Art Unit: 3629

Inventions III and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller side and placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities.

Inventions IV and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are building an intellectual property database and searching intellectual property listings.

Inventions IV and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are building an intellectual property database and evaluating and comparing a plurality of online auctions and exchanges.

Inventions IV and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are building an intellectual property database and submitting a listing of goods and services from the seller side.

Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

Art Unit: 3629

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are building an intellectual property database and purchasing an item from the buyer side.

Page 7

Inventions IV and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are building an intellectual property database and placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities.

Inventions V and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are purchasing an item and searching intellectual property listings.

Inventions V and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are purchasing an item and evaluating and comparing a plurality of online auctions and exchanges.

Inventions V and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are purchasing an item and submitting a listing of goods and services.

Page 8

Art Unit: 3629

Inventions V and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are purchasing an item and building an intellectual property database.

Inventions V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are purchasing an item and placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities.

Inventions VI and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities and searching intellectual property listings.

Inventions VI and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities and evaluating and comparing a plurality of online auctions and exchanges.

Inventions VI and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

inventions are placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities and submitting a listing of goods

and services.

Art Unit: 3629

Inventions VI and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they

are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

inventions are placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities and building an intellectual

property database.

Inventions VI and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they

are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

inventions are placing an item for sale on a plurality of entities and purchasing an item.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for Group I is not required for Group II, III, IV, V, or VI, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for Group II is not required for Group I, III, IV, V, or VI, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

Page 9

Art Unit: 3629

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group I, II, IV, V, or IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group IV is not required for Group I, II, III, V, or VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group V is not required for Group I, II, III, IV, or VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group VI is not required for Group I, II, III, IV, or V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jan Mooneyham whose telephone number is (703) 305-8554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John G. Weiss

mil

Page 11

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

JΜ